Public Housing Demolition in Galveston, Texas Is Subject to One-for-One Replacement

On September 13, 2008, Hurricane Ike made landfall in Galveston, Texas. The powerful storm damaged large swaths of Galveston, including over half of the city’s public housing units.2 Seventy-five percent of the island was flooded, and the city’s population fell to about 45,000 from 57,000.3 In preparation for Ike’s landfall, an evacuation order was issued for the area, and residents living in Galveston’s public housing were forced to leave their homes.4 The public housing units remain boarded up or unavailable, and the displaced public housing families have not been permitted to return.5

Many Galveston residents, along with the displaced public housing tenants, qualify for the Department of Housing and Urban Development’s (HUD) Disaster Housing Assistance Program (DHAP).6 But the vast majority of those who qualified could not find homes where they were able to use the available housing assistance.7 Moreover, the need for affordable housing continues to be substantial. Prior to Ike, 57% of Galveston’s households were occupied by renters.8 Based on HUD criteria, Harish Krishnarao, the executive director of the Galveston Housing Authority (GHA), estimated that 83% of the 6000 displaced Galveston residents eligible for DHAP need some form of ongoing assistance.9

On January 28, 2009, the GHA board met and agreed to tear down immediately two of its large public housing developments, Oleander Homes and Palm Terrace, which comprise over 54% of the multifamily housing stock.10 In addition, GHA proposed to renovate two other developments, Cedar Terrace and Magnolia Homes, so as to rehouse some public housing tenants more quickly.11 Ultimately, it is anticipated that these units will also be demolished and reconstructed. One month later, GHA submitted a letter to HUD notifying it that GHA intended to demolish Oleander Homes and Palm Terrace.12 Believing that its actions were permissible under a HUD website guideline regarding accidental losses, GHA wanted confirmation that it had authority to hasten the demolition. GHA had hoped to demolish the complexes by March 23, 2009, the deadline by which the Federal Emergency Management Agency would pay for debris removal, saving GHA an estimated $1.2 million.13

On March 2, 2009, Lone Star Legal Aid (LSLA) filed an administrative complaint with HUD on behalf of displaced Galveston public housing residents, opposing GHA’s plans to demolish these public housing developments without complying with laws governing the demolition and disposition of public housing.14 The parties recently entered into a settlement agreement in which LSLA has agreed to withdraw its opposition to GHA’s demolition plans in exchange for GHA agreeing to provide a one-for-one replacement of the multifamily public housing units that it intends to demolish.15 This article outlines the law governing demolitions related to natural disasters, LSLA’s administrative complaint, and the terms of the settlement agreement.

HUD’s Policy Regarding Demolition for Accidental Loss

In response to questions raised by a series of particularly destructive hurricanes, HUD issued an undated guidance on its website addressing “demolition to alleviate the damage caused by accidental losses such as fires, storms, and other natural disasters.”16 The guidance states that the standard contract between HUD and public housing agencies, the Annual Contributions Contract (ACC), allows housing authorities to take all necessary steps to ensure the safety of their residents, employees and the general public without waiting for approval from HUD in
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the event of “abrupt damage” from a natural disaster.17

The guidance provides that if a natural disaster occurs, a housing authority may demolish all or part of a damaged structure and dispose of the debris “to the extent needed to maintain the site in a safe condition or to eliminate an attractive nuisance.”18 If the housing authority decides to rebuild the damaged structure, any additional demolition required to carry out the repair would also not be subject to the required procedure for demolition and disposition of public housing.19 But if the housing authority decides not to rebuild the structure, an application for demolition and disposition under 42 USC § 1427p must be submitted to formalize the removal of the units from the inventory. This requirement stems from Section 13 of the ACC, which directs housing authorities to “restore, rebuild or reconstruct a damaged or destroyed project to the extent that insurance proceeds permit, unless HUD authorizes otherwise in writing.”20 According to HUD, demolition arising from accidental loss is the one exception where it may approve applications for demolition and disposition after the fact.21

HUD based this new guidance upon Section 4 of the ACC, which the website references but does not quote. Section 4 provides as follows:

Mission of the HA. The HA shall at all times develop and operate each project solely for the purpose of providing decent, safe, and sanitary housing for eligible families in a manner that promotes serviceability, economy, efficiency, and stability of the projects, and the economic and social well-being of the tenants.22

Significantly, the guidance does not mention Section 7 of the ACC, which provides that the housing authority “shall not demolish or dispose of any project, or a portion thereof, other than in accordance with the terms of the ACC and applicable HUD requirements.”23

Administrative Complaint

On behalf of displaced public housing residents, LSLA filed an administrative complaint with HUD objecting to the proposed demolition. The complaint asserted that HUD’s exception for demolition due to accidental loss did not apply to the situation faced by GHA.24 More than five months elapsed between the dates the storm damaged the buildings and the date on which GHA sought confirmation that the proposed demolition did not require HUD approval. During that time, the buildings in question were “fenced and secured to keep away residents and general public.”25 Accordingly, the buildings were neither impinging on the safety of the residents, employees and the general public nor creating an attractive nuisance. The administrative complaint also alleged that at the time of GHA’s request to HUD, GHA had maintained the site in a safe condition and was in a position to do so for the duration of an application for demolition and disposition pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1427p.

LSLA also objected to GHA’s assertion in its letter to HUD that “residents have been relocated to other developments.”26 As noted above, the displaced public housing tenants were eligible for DHAP because of the nationally declared disaster. However, DHAP payments are set to expire in eighteen months27 or sooner for some tenants.28 Many families have not been able to find a unit where they can use the DHAP subsidy. Moreover, this subsidy may require recipients to pay more than 30% of household income as soon as May 2009, in order to remain eligible for the assistance.29 Most importantly, DHAP assistance does not provide the permanent replacement housing that is required for families displaced due to demolition or disposition of public housing.30

Settlement Agreement

The settlement agreement requires GHA to rebuild the units it plans to demolish on a one-for-one basis and in the same bedroom size configurations as existed pre-Hurricane Ike. Displaced residents will have first preference to return to both repaired housing and replacement housing. GHA further agreed to provide tenant protection vouchers to displaced public housing tenants when the demolition begins. Additionally, GHA committed to a timeframe of no more than three to five years for the construction of replacement housing, and agreed to meet and consult with LSLA on at least a quarterly basis regarding planning and implementation of demolition and replacement housing.31
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Stimulus Funding Seeks to Improve Energy Efficiency of Multifamily Housing

The American Reinvestment and Recovery Act of 2009 (ARRA) provided unprecedented funding for improving the energy efficiency of housing serving low-income families. The Department of Housing and Urban Development’s (HUD’s) Green Retrofit Program (GRP) for Multifamily Housing will provide $250 million in grants and loans for building rehabilitation that will reduce utility costs, improve tenant health and provide other environmental benefits. This article describes in detail the Notice HUD recently published to implement the GRP funds. Additionally, the Department of Energy’s (DOE) Weatherization Assistance Program will provide $5 billion to states to make homes more energy efficient, and DOE and HUD are working together to ensure that these funds can be used to improve federally subsidized housing.

Eligible Projects

Eligible projects for GRP include Section 202 projects with at least thirty-two units, Section 811 projects with at least eight units, USDA Section 515 projects with at least twenty units, and all other project-based Section 8 projects with at least seventy-two units. Project-based voucher units are not eligible for the GRP. Additionally, projects with Real Estate Assessment Center inspection scores of less than sixty are ineligible. HUD will limit the number of each type of project units that will be accepted into the program. Approximately 3700 Section 202 units, 350 Section 811 units, 1000 Section 515 units, and 15,000 project-based Section 8 units will be accepted into the program. Further, no more than 3% of all the units initially accepted into the program can be under the control of a single owner. Additionally, HUD is seeking geographical diversity, and no more than 20% of the units accepted into the program will be located in any one HUD region.
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