

U.S.Department of Housing and Urban Development Pacific/Hawaii California State Office 450 Golden Gate Avenue San Francisco, California 94102-3448

June 23, 1997

s. Lynn Martinez Legal Services of Northern California Solano County Office 1810 Capitol Street Vallejo, California 94590

Subject:

Project Name: Rockwell Manor

Project Address: 693 E. Tabor Ave., Fairfield, CA

Project Number: 121-35698-PM-L8

Dear Ms. Martinez:

This letter is in response to your April 16, 1997, letter to Ms. Erma Harris in the Office of Housing, and your June 2, 1997, letter to the Office of Counsel on behalf of your client, desired who receives Section 8 assistance for a 3-bedroom unit at Rockwell Manor. You have requested a legal opinion regarding whether a Guardianship Authorization is sufficient to establish Ms. granddaughter as a family member of her household and as her dependent.

The first issue is whether a Guardianship Authorization is sufficient to establish Mc. Remain granddaughter as a family member of her household in order to determine unit size. For determining how many bedrooms a family may have at move-in, paragraph 2-18b of Handbook 4350.3 CHG-24 states in part that owners must count "all full-time members of the household" and "all children anticipated to reside in a unit." Child family members who already reside in the unit would fall under the former category, rather than the latter, which only applies to those children anticipated to reside in the unit. It is our understanding that since December 1995, Ms. ** has had physical custody and control of her granddaughter, control Assuming that the resides in Ms. Him's unit, the Guardianship Authorization, which among other things authorizes to live with Ms. Bears, is sufficient to establish Se as a family member of Ms. Hards household.

The second issue regards whether a Guardianship Authorization is sufficient to establish Ms. Profes granddaughter as her dependent, presumably for the purpose of calculating Ms. Page's Adjusted Income. According to 24 C.F.R. § 813.102, a Dependent is defined as "A member of the Family household (excluding foster children) other than the Family head or spouse, who is under 18 years of age or is a Disabled Person or Handicapped Person, or is a Full-time Student." calculating adjusted income, paragraph 3-25 of Handbook 4350.3 CHG-27 further defines a dependent as any Family member who is "NOT a head, co-head, spouse, foster child, foster adult, live-in attendant, unborn child, or a child who has not yet joined the Family even if legal custody is currently being pursued" and who is in relevant part, younger than 18. Since Simple is younger than 18, she would be considered a dependent according to HUD's requirements as long as she has already joined Ms. Barr's household. However, paragraph 3-25 of Handbook 4350.3 CHG-27 also notes that "when more than one family shares joint custody (e.g., 50/50 custody) of a child and they both live in assisted housing, only one family can claim the dependent allowance for that child." According to the Guardianship Authorization, Ms. Charles Ms. Charles Authorization, has retained legal custody of and the Therefore, if Ms. also lives in assisted housing, then either she or Ms. 2000 may claim a dependent allowance for Share to but not both of them.

If you have any questions, please call me at (415) 436-8214, or Erma Harris at (415) 436-8459.

Sincerely yours,

Maria LaHood Attorney-Advisor

Maria lathood

cc: Kathleen Loman Erma Harris Barbara Dorworth, Property Manager DKD Property Management Company



Legal Services of Northern California

Solano County Office 1810 Capitol Street • Vallejo. California 94590 (707) 643-0054 • (800) 270-7252 • Fax: (707) 643-0144

June 2, 1997

Patrick Simonelli
Beverly G. Agee
Office of Counsel
U.S. Department of Housing
and Urban Development
450 Golden Gate Ave.
San Francisco, California 94102-3448

Re: Project Name: Rockwell Manor

Project Address: 693 E. Tabor Ave., Fairfield, CA

Our Client: Garage (April 10)

Dear Mr. Simonelli and Ms. Agee:

Over a month ago, on April 16, 1997, I forwarded a letter and attachments re: my clients' guardianship issue to Ms. Erma Harris. Ms. Harris requested this information because, although she was familiar with this case, she had not been apprised of the "history" regarding my client's tenancy and she had not been provided actual documentation to consider. She advised that she would send this issue to HUD's legal division to obtain an opinion.

To date, we have not received a response from HUD. Rockwell Manor has indicated that it will proceed with its eviction action against our client on or about July 15, 1997. Accordingly, we respectfully request that your office consider this letter as a second request for a legal opinion regarding this matter.

<u>Issue</u>

Is a Guardianship Authorization --- which provides for physical custody and control over a minor child and is signed and notarized by both the parent and the proposed guardian --- sufficient to establish dependent eligibility and to determine unit size?

Background

has lived in Rockwell Manor since the mid1980's. She is a disabled senior who suffers from degenerative
arthritis, diverticulosis, hiatal hernia and severe anxiety.¹
Between 1989 and 1995, she had guardianship of her granddaughter,
Between Position. In 1989, as requested by her landlord, Ms.

Position. In 1989, as requested by her landlord, Ms.

provided Rockwell Manor with a General Power of Attorney
for Minor to confirm her guardianship of the child. (See
Exhibit A attached.) The Power of Attorney was deemed acceptable
by Rockwell Manor and Minor remained a dependent of Ms.

Bran's household until she graduated from high school (and moved
out) in 1995.

In December 1995, Ms. Born notified Rockwell Manor that she had guardianship of another grandchild, the land of that time, Ms. Brown has had physical custody and control of that time, Ms. Brown has had physical custody and control of that time, Ms. Brown has had physical custody and control of that time, Ms. Brown has had physical custody and control of with a General Power of Attorney for Shearly (similar to the form that she provided for Nicoland) (See Exhibit B attached.) When Ms. Brown was recertified in December 1996, Rockwell Manor rejected the Power of Attorney for Shearly. (See Exhibit C attached.)

As a result, Ms. For submitted a Guardianship
Authorization signed and notarized by both for the submit of the control of the

In March, 1997, Rockwell Manor rejected the Guardianship Authorization and advised Ms. But that she must move from her 3 bedroom unit a 2 bedroom unit. In its March 5, 1997 letter, Rockwell Manor stated that the Guardianship Authorization "does not evidence that you are the legal guardian of your granddaughter nor have you provided any proof that you have filed a formal court petition for custody . . . [u]nder these circumstances, your granddaughter may not be counted as a family member." (See Exhibit E attached.) Rockwell Manor also relies on HUD Handbook §4350.3, Section 3-25a stating that Ms. "must show legal custody to add "must show legal custody show legal custody to add "must show legal custody show legal c

Due to her disabilities, Ms. The has a live-in attendant living with her.

is 13 years old.

Analysis

Contrary to Rockwell Manor's position, the HUD Handbook 4350.3 does not provide that Ms. Rearn must have <u>legal</u> custody to claim 3 as a dependent. Section §3-25 only requires that the second is a family member who is not one of the specified persons listed in 3-25a (which she is not) and that she is under 18 years of age. Standal meets these requirements.

Furthermore, the Guardianship Authorization clearly provides that Gardian who shall retain legal custody of Gardian and Ms. Para shall receive physical custody. (Exhibit D, M1.) As evidenced by the Authorization, Ms. How consented to this arrangement. (Id.) Accordingly, since there is no dispute about who has custody of Shall, Ms. How is not required to provide any additional documentation to settle a custody dispute as required by HUD. (See NOTE following §3-25b(1), HUD Handbook 4350.3.) Thus, the Guardianship Authorization provided by Ms. It is sufficient to document that Charles is a dependent.

In addition, even if Shamell does not qualify as a dependent, she certainly qualifies as a member of the household in determining unit size since Ms. Here has custody and control of this child. Again, HUD regulations do not provide that Ms. Show a court-ordered guardianship or formal court petition for custody to count her granddaughter as a family member. However, the HUD Handbook does require that the state of residency with Ms. Brown must be considered in determining unit size.

In determining how many bedrooms a family may have in their unit, Rockwell Manor must count all children anticipated to reside in the unit. (Handbook 4350.3, §2-18(b)(2).) Examples include, but are not limited to, children by whom an adult has custody and foster children. (Id.) The Handbook acknowledges that, even if a child resides in the unit (and thus, serves to determine unit size), such children are not necessarily considered dependents. (Id. at 3-25.) Similarly, the income of certain individuals cannot be considered to determine annual income (i.e. live-in attendants, foster children, etc.) even if such persons are considered in determining unit size for the household. (Id. at 3-10(b).) This is consistent with HUD's opinion issued on August 28, 1986 which provided that a PHA could not require "legal guardianship" to determine family eligibility because it did not stand the "test of reasonableness." (See Letter dated August 28, 1986 from Beverly Kendrick, HUD attached as Exhibit F.) Thus, it is clear that even if Chambel cannot be considered a dependent of Ms. Brown, she must be considered as a person who resides in the unit. Therefore, Sharmell's residency must be considered when determining the unit size for Ms. Brand's household.

Finally, it appears that Rockwell Manor's refusal to accept Ms. Results guardianship documentation and to recognize Shawnell as a member of her family constitutes discriminatory housing

practices which are a direct violation of the Fair Housing Act. Rockwell Manor is prohibited from discriminating on the basis of familial status. (HUD Handbook 4350.3, §§2-3(a), 2-27) As defined in the Fair Housing Act,

Familial status means one or more individuals (who have not attained the age of 18 years) being domiciled with --(a) A parent or another person having legal custody of such individual or individuals; or
(b) The designee of such parent or other person having such custody, with the written permission of such parent or other

person." (24 CFR §100.20, emphasis added.)

Accordingly, Rockwell Manor's rejection of Ms. Accordingly, Rockwell Man

<u>conclusion</u>

As a result of all of the above, Ms. The requests a written decision confirming that the Guardianship Authorization she has submitted to Rockwell Manor is sufficient to establish her granddaughter as a dependent and family member of her household. If you have any further questions, please do not hesitate to contact me at (707) 643-0054, ext. 309. Thank you very much.

Very truly yours,

S. Lynn Martinez Attorney at Law

/slm cc:

GUARDIANSHIP AUTHORIZATION

Name:		Vegrin School
Birthdate:	Age:	Bat iii Goligol
MOTHER		
Street Address:		
City:	State	Zip Code:
Home Phone:	Work Phone:	
FATHER		
Street Address:		
City:	State	Zip Code:
Home Phone:	Work Phone:	
PROPOSED GUARDIAN		
Street Address:		
City:	State	Zip Code:
Home Phone:	Work Phone:	
Relationship to Minor:		
In case of emergency, if proposed g	guardian cannot be reached, please co	ntact:
January 21 21 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	Phone:	

Authorization & Consent of Parent(s)

いって 一般を表する かんしゅ

- I affirm that the minor indicated above is my child and that I have legal custody of her/him. I give my full
 authorization and consent for my child to live with the proposed guardian, or for the proposed guardian to set a
 place of residence for my child.
- I give the proposed guardian permission to act in my place and make decisions pertaining to my child's
 educational and religious activities including but not limited to enrollment, permission to participate in activities
 and consent for medical treatment at school.
- 3. I give the proposed guardian permission to authorize medical and dental care for my child, including but not limited to medical examinations, X-rays, tests, anesthetic, surgical operations, hospital care or other treatments that in the proposed guardian's sole opinion are needed or useful for my child. Such medical treatment shall only be provided upon the advice of and supervision by a physician, surgeon or dentist or other medical practitioner licensed to practice in the United States.

4. I give the proposed guardian permission to apply	for benefits on my cuid's behal	f including but not limited to
5. I give the proposed guardian permission to apply	y and obtain for my child any or one assport.	all of the following.
		Little veleges living
7. During the period when the proposed guardian of	torge for my child. The COSIS OF I	
I declare under penalty of perjury under the law	s of the State of California that t	he foregoing is true and correct.
	Date	·
Mother's Signature:	Date	:, 19
rather s digitators.		
	Notarization .	
State of California) .,	
State of California County of	}³³.	
On this this day of	. 19 , before	e me, a notary public of the State
On this this day of of California, personally appeared personally known to me (or proved to me on the light appeared and acknowledge)		who co namels
personally known to me (or proved to the on the is/are subscribed to this instrument, and acknowl Notary Public:	t011	
Consen	t of Proposed Guardian	
I solemnly affirm that I will assume full respondesignated above. I agree to make necessary d	nsibility for the minor who will live	ets of the minor's upkeep, living
expenses, medical and/or dental expenses set	forth in the above Authorization	& Consent of Parent(s).
expenses, medical and/or derital expenses set	lows of the State of California the	& Consent of Parent(s). at the foregoing is true and correct
expenses, medical and/or dental expenses set in I declare under penalty of perjury under the in Proposed Guardian's Signature:	lows of the State of California the	& Consent of Parent(s). at the foregoing is true and correct
expenses, medical and/or derital expenses set	lows of the State of California the	& Consent of Parent(s). at the foregoing is true and correct
I declare under penalty of perjury under the Proposed Guardian's Signature:	laws of the State of California the Da	& Consent of Parent(s). at the foregoing is true and correct
I declare under penalty of perjury under the learning of the person of the learning of the lea	laws of the State of California the Da Notarization	& Consent of Parent(s). at the foregoing is true and correct ate:, 19
I declare under penalty of perjury under the learning of the person of the learning of the lea	laws of the State of California the Da Notarization	& Consent of Parent(s). at the foregoing is true and correct ate:, 19
I declare under penalty of perjury under the Proposed Guardian's Signature:	Notarization ss. 19, bef	& Consent of Parent(s). at the foregoing is true and correct ate:, 19
expenses, medical and/or derital expenses sort of declare under penalty of perjury under the life Proposed Guardian's Signature :	Notarization ss. 19, beforded it.	& Consent of Parent(s). at the foregoing is true and correct ate:, 19