IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT C FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF VIE Charlottesville Division	
Janyce LEWIS, Deborah COOPER, Clarissa FOLLEY, Harold FOLLEY, Earletta GLADDEN and Telambria TINSLEY, Individually and on behalf of all persons similarly situated and Charlottesville Public Housing Area Residents (PHAR)	) JULIA C. DUDLEY, CLERK BY: MAN DEPUTY CLERK
Plaintiffs,	)
<b>v.</b>	) ) Case No.: 3:12cv00026
CHARLOTTESVILLE REDEVELOPMENT & HOUSING AUTHORITY,	)
CONSTANCE DUNN, in her official capacity As the Executive Director of the Charlottesville Redevelopment and Housing Authority	) ) )
Defendants.	)

### FINAL ORDER APPROVING CLASS SETTLEMENT

This case is presently before the court on the plaintiffs' motion for final approval of a class settlement reached with the Charlottesville Redevelopment and Housing Authority ("CRHA") and Constance Dunn. The court held a hearing on the plaintiffs' motion for preliminary approval on November 4, 2013. After considering the motion, the supporting memorandum and declarations, the terms of the settlement agreement filed on September 30, 2013 (the "Settlement Agreement"), the court granted preliminary approval by Order dated November 6, 2013 (Dkt 83). The court held a hearing on plaintiffs' motion for final approval on January 13, 2013. Having reviewed the prior Order and the supporting memorandum and declarations for final approval, it is hereby

### ORDERED

as follows:

 Based on this court's prior order, the class definition of the Settlement Class remains as follows:

All current and former Charlottesville public housing residents since June 7, 2007 who were subject to CRHA's 2003 resolution regarding utility allowances.

The court previously analyzed the proposed Settlement Class pursuant to Rules 23(a) and 23(b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and found that the requirements of those rules had been met. The court remains convinced that the Settlement Class satisfies the requirements of both Rule 23(a) and Rule 23(b)(3). Accordingly, final certification of the proposed class is granted.

- 2. The court further finds that the terms of the Settlement Agreement are (a) fair, reasonable, and adequate in light of the relevant factual, legal, practical, and procedural considerations of the action; and (b) free of collusion to the detriment of the members of the Settlement Class.
- 3. The terms are fair, reasonable, and adequate because they were the result of armslength negotiation between the parties conducted over a series of mediations with a Magistrate Judge of this court, return to class members approximately one-third of the dollars charged in alleged violation of law, provide for prospective relief, provide for incentive awards to the class representatives consistent with their participation, and provide for attorneys' fees and costs as allowed by law. Furthermore, no class member opted out of the settlement and the only objection filed raised circumstances unique to that individual not pertinent to the class. Accordingly, the court approves the Settlement Agreement upon finding that the settlement is fair, reasonable, and adequate.

- Plaintiffs Janyce Lewis, Deborah Cooper, Clarissa Folley, Harold Folley, Earletta Gladden, and Telambria Tinsley remain as Class Representatives for the Settlement Class.
- 5. The following law firm and attorneys remain as Class Counsel for the Settlement

Class:

Brenda Castañeda, VSB #72809 brenda@justice4all.org

Thomas D. Domonoske VSB #35434 tom@justice4all.org

LEGAL AID JUSTICE CENTER 1000 Preston Avenue, Suite A Charlottesville, Va. 22903 PHONE 434-977-0553 x 849 FAX 434-977-0558

6. If, for any reason, the Settlement does not become effective, this approval order shall be null and void. Except for requiring the return of the funds described in Paragraph 17 if requested by Defendants, it shall not be used or referred to for any purpose in this action or any other action or proceeding. If an appeal from the entry of this order is filed, the funds described in Paragraph 17 shall remain in escrow in the Legal Aid Justice Center's Client Trust Account until that appeal is resolved.

# **Prospective Relief for Tenants**

- 7. If no appeal is filed from entry of this order, the terms of this prospective relief shall take effect.
- 8. The CRHA electricity allowances will be based on the 2010 2rw recommendations, based upon the 2010 HUD calculator, in Attachment 1 in the Preliminary Approval Order.
- 9. These allowances will continue for a period of five years.

- 10. The rate applied to excess electric charges shall be \$.074 per kilowatt hour exceeding the allowance. This rate will continue in effect for a period of five years.
- 11. The tenants of each of the 251 units actually charged for utilities shall receive a credit of \$15.00 per month, to be applied for thirty-six (36) months from the date of settlement.Such credits shall be applied at the tenant's discretion.
- 12. The tenants of each of the 251 units actually charged for utilities shall receive a credit of \$5.00 per month for twenty-four (24) months thereafter. Such credits shall be applied at the tenant's discretion.
- 13. This credit shall be on the account of the household assigned to each of the 251 units actually charged for utilities, to be used for any purpose associated with the lease.
- 14. The CRHA shall implement an individual relief policy for tenants who are elderly, disabled, ill, or otherwise have special needs for usage of electricity beyond their control. The notice and policy was attached to the settlement agreement as Exhibit A (Dkt. 78-1). CRHA's adoption of the proposed Notice and Policy was pursuant to the Settlement Agreement, and therefore Plaintiffs subject to this Class Action waive any notice and comment required under Federal and/or State law in the interest of compromise and that CRHA's adoption of such Notice and Policy shall be deemed to be lawful and valid for the term required under this Settlement Agreement. Plaintiffs shall file no claim challenging the validity of the Notice and Policy during the five year term required under this Settlement.
- 15. The CRHA shall further notify tenants of the right to request relief from the imposition of utility surcharges upon implementation of the policy, upon their admission into the public housing program, at their initial lease execution, and at their annual recertification

appointments. This notification shall be in writing and will state the right to challenge the denial of any request for relief in accordance with the CRHA's grievance procedure.

16. This prospective relief is fair, reasonable, and adequate because it implements the requirements of federal law and the intent of promoting energy-efficient practices.

#### **Retroactive Relief for Former and Current Tenants**

- 17. The Settlement Agreement required defendants to deposit on September 1, 2013, or as soon thereafter as the necessary approvals and appropriations have been obtained, which approvals and appropriations were to be sought with due diligence, \$160,000.00 into Legal Aid Justice Center's Client Trust Account at BB&T. As of the date of this order, \$160,000.00 has been deposited into this account. These funds are to be distributed as described below.
  - a. \$95,400.00 to be distributed to tenants who paid excess electricity
    consumption surcharges incurred between June 7, 2007 and May 31, 2013;
  - b. \$6,600.00 to be distributed to tenants who did not incur excess electric charges for one or more years between January 1, 2008 and December 31, 2012, and were eligible to receive the \$50.00 savings bond;
  - c. \$6,000.00 for incentive awards of \$1,000.00 to each of the class
    representatives for pursuing the claims, to cover the expenses incurred for
    attending meetings and hearings as well as personal time spent advancing the
    litigation, and the personal risk they took in speaking out for their rights;
  - d. \$50,000.00 for attorney's fees; and
  - e. \$2,000.00 for the costs and administration of the settlement.
- 18. The CRHA has identified 427 current and former CRHA tenants who paid excess electric utility consumption charges incurred between June 7, 2007 and May 31, 2013.

- The total amount of excess utility consumption surcharges eligible for reimbursement is
  \$288,717.24. See (Preliminary Approval Order, Attachment 2, Dkt 83.)
- 20. Current and former CRHA tenants eligible for reimbursement payments are identified by numbers in Attachment 2 along with the amounts they are entitled to receive.
- 21. The current and former tenants for whom Class Counsel has valid addresses will receive an amount equivalent to at least 33.043 percent of the excess utility consumption fees charged to them between June 7, 2007 and May 31, 2013 and paid by them.
- 22. The settlement regarding the excess fee charge is fair to all class members who were charged these fees because they each receive the same proportionate share. It is reasonable and adequate because they receive approximately one-third of the charges that were allegedly improperly charged, and it avoids the risk that a determination on the merits could result in actual damages of far less than the amount charged.
- 23. Current and former tenants for whom Class Counsel has valid addresses and who stayed below their total annual electric utility allowance between January 1, 2008 and December 31, 2012 and thus were eligible for a \$50 savings bond pursuant to the 2003 CRHA Board Resolution will receive \$50.00 for each year they were eligible. The households eligible for the \$50 bonds are identified by number in Attachment 3 to the Preliminary Approval Order (Dkt 83).
- 24. The settlement regarding the \$50 savings bond is fair to all class members who were eligible for the savings bond because each is receiving the value for which they qualified. It is reasonable and adequate because they kept their electrical usage below the allowance and did not receive the bond they were promised.

25. The former tenants for whom Class Counsel has no valid address will have their equivalent amounts held in trust by Class Counsel for six months from when the checks are first sent to known addresses.

#### Notice of Settlement

- 26. Class Counsel provided the best practicable notice to all class members and mailed individual notice to class members whose addresses could be determined. Class Counsel is responsible for distributing the settlement proceeds. Class Counsel has provided a declaration that the actual costs of doing this exceeded \$2,000.00. Class Counsel will receive \$2,000.00 out of the total settlement amount as administration costs.
- 27. Class Counsel mailed the approved Notice of Class Action Settlement (Preliminary Approval Order, Attachment 4, Dkt 83) to each of the Class Members who could be located. The Notice of Class Action Settlement described the following: the nature of this action; the definition of the class certified; the class claims and issues raised; the proposed amounts to be distributed for reimbursements; percentage of excess utility fees that class members will be reimbursed; that class members have the right to hire an attorney; that the court will exclude any member who requests exclusion; the time and manner for requesting exclusion; and the binding effect of a class judgment on class members. This Notice also advised Class Members that they may contact Class Counsel if they would like further information.
- 28. Initially, addresses were found for all but eight Class Members. Notices were originally sent to 383 of the 436 class members, of which 45 notices were returned. Second and third mailings totaling 83 notices to additional tenants as well as some whose notices were initially returned yielded another 21 notices returned because of invalid addresses. Ultimately, of the 436 class members, 41 did not receive a notice because it was returned.

Thus, Class Counsel does not have valid addresses for 41 out of 436 total class members. Consequently, checks will be issued to approximately 94% of the Class Members. Based on this information, the court finds that the notice provided was reasonable, adequate, and the "best . . . practicable" under the circumstances and, thus, that it satisfied the requirements set forth in Rule 23. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(c)(2)(B).

29. On November 8, 2013, Defendants mailed the notice required pursuant to the Class Action Fairness Act of 2005 ("CAFA") to the Attorney General of the United States and to the Attorney General of the Commonwealth of Virginia. More than 90 days have passed since such notice was provided and no response has been received. See 28 U.S.C. § 1715(d).

### **Objection**, intervention, or opt-out

- 30. No Class Member or Class Counsel disagreed with the determination as to the class members' eligibility for reimbursement or the amount of the reimbursement.
- 31. No Class Member opted out.
- 32. One objection was received which set forth a unique set of circumstances and asserted that the particular payment amount was inadequate. This objection raised no issues as to whether the terms of the Settlement Agreement were fair, adequate, and reasonable for the class. This objection is overruled.
- 33. No Class Member has sought to intervene.
- 34. If an appeal is filed which does not set aside this settlement, any payment or form of other consideration provided to any intervenor or objector must first be presented to the Court for approval by motion. The motion that is made on behalf of any objector or intervenor must separately identify the consideration to be provided to the objector or

intervenor, any attorney's fees that are to be paid, and the benefit that the objector or intervenor conferred upon the class.

### **Distribution of money**

- 35. Each named Plaintiff and Class Member's proportionate total share of the Settlement Fund to be distributed from the Settlement Fund will be calculated as follows:
  - (a) Each named Plaintiff and Class Member whose identifying number appears on Attachment 2 receives their percentage recovery for electricity surcharges between June 7, 2007 and May 31, 2013 as listed in Attachment 2.
  - (b) Each named Plaintiff or Class Member whose identifying number appears on Attachment 3 receives a payment of \$50.00 for each year identified in which that named Plaintiff or Class Member did not exceed their annual electrical allowance.
  - (c) In consideration for their commitment to the pursuit of the claims in this action on behalf of themselves and the Class, the individual named Plaintiffs in this action will receive \$6,000.00 from the Settlement Fund. This represents an incentive award of \$1,000.00 to each named Plaintiff for participation as a class representative to cover the expenses incurred for attending meetings and hearings as well as personal time spent advancing the litigation and the personal risk they took in speaking out for their rights. This amount is within the normal range for incentive awards of class actions of this size.

(d) The settlement funds shall remain in escrow pending the resolution of any appeal from this order. If such an appeal is successful, the funds shall be returned to the Defendants should they so elect.

# **Disposition of Unclaimed Funds (Cy Pres)**

36. Any unclaimed funds in the escrow account as of six months after the checks have first been disbursed shall be distributed to the Albemarle Housing Improvement Project (AHIP), to be held in trust for the use by then-current tenants of CRHA facilities for monetary assistance toward the purchase of energy-efficient cooling equipment or energy-efficiency improvements.

# Attorneys' fees and costs

- 37. The settlement includes \$50,000.00 in attorneys' fees, payable to Legal Aid Justice Center. This sum represents only a portion of the total hours spent by Plaintiffs' attorneys on this case multiplied by the lodestar rates of those attorneys representing reasonable rates for attorneys of comparable experience in the same market area. The court finds that the award of attorneys' fees is fair and reasonable.
- 38. Class Counsel also receives \$2,000.00 out of the total settlement amount to cover the costs of settlement administration.

# **Continuing Jurisdiction**

39. The Court shall have continuing jurisdiction, during the term of this Agreement, to enforce this Settlement Agreement's terms, and to enforce the Final Judgment.

The Clerk is directed to strike the case from the court's active docket and to send certified copies of this order to all counsel of record.

ENTER: This 18<sup>64</sup> day of February, 2014.

1 sin Conra

Chief United States District Judge

# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA Charlottesville Division

Janyce LEWIS, Deborah COOPER, Clarissa FOLLEY,	)
Harold FOLLEY, Earletta GLADDEN and Telambria TINSLEY,	)
Individually and on behalf of all persons similarly situated and	)
Charlottesville Public Housing Area Residents (PHAR)	)
Plaintiffs,	)
<b>v.</b>	)
	) Case No.: 3:12cv00026
CHARLOTTESVILLE REDEVELOPMENT &	)
HOUSING AUTHORITY,	)
CONSTANCE DUNN, in her official capacity	)
As the Executive Director of the Charlottesville	)
Redevelopment and Housing Authority	)
	)
Defendants.	)

### DRAFT SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

The Parties, each having advice and representation of legal counsel and in exchange for sufficient and valuable consideration enter into this Settlement Agreement. This Agreement resolves all of the claims and causes of action that were asserted in this action or that arise from the facts alleged in the Second Amended Complaint subject to the terms and conditions set forth below.

 Plaintiffs. Plaintiffs in this class action are tenants and former tenants of the Charlottesville Redevelopment and Housing Authority who are subject to the Housing Authority's July 2003 resolution on utility allowances. The Charlottesville Public Housing Association of Residents (PHAR) is also a plaintiff. Plaintiffs seek declaratory, injunctive and monetary relief for violations of the Brooke Amendment to the U.S. Housing Act of 1937 (42 U.S.C. § 1437 *et seq.*), the regulations of the Department of Housing and Urban Development, the Housing Authority's lease, and Resolution No. 2058, July 28, 2003, of the Housing Authority Board.

- Defendants. Defendants in this case are the Charlottesville Redevelopment and Housing Authority and Constance Dunn, the Executive Director of the Charlottesville Redevelopment and Housing Authority.
- 3. **Scope**. This Settlement Agreement is entered into as a settlement of all claims for declaratory, injunctive and monetary relief as set forth in Plaintiffs' Second Amended Complaint, filed on December 13, 2012, as well as a settlement of all attorneys' fees and costs. The parties agree to the following terms.

NOW, THEREFORE, the undersigned Plaintiffs and the CRHA Defendants agree as follows:

### I. BACKGROUND

- 4. Plaintiffs who are current and former tenants of the Charlottesville Redevelopment and Housing Authority (CRHA) filed this class action on June 7, 2012, challenging the CRHA's failure to provide adequate and documented electric utility allowances as required by the U.S. Housing Act of 1937 (Housing Act) and the regulations of the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) (hereinafter Class Action).
- 5. The CRHA is a political subdivision of the Commonwealth of Virginia with the power to sue and be sued. The CRHA owns and operates the following developments which are the subject of the suit: Westhaven (106 units), South First Street (58 units), Riverside Avenue (16 units), Madison Avenue (18 units), Michie Drive (23 units), and scattered

sites, as public housing the funding is provided by the HUD under the Housing Act. Each of these housing developments is located in Charlottesville, Virginia.

- 6. The Parties desire to resolve, without further litigation all claims arising out of or relating in any way to Plaintiffs' Class Action and the Parties understand and agree that CRHA denies any and all allegations of wrongdoing which have arisen or may arise out of the circumstances resulting in this Class Action.
- 7. Plaintiffs' and CRHA understand and agree that neither the making of this Agreement nor anything contained herein shall, in any way, be construed or considered to be an admission by the CRHA of any wrongdoing or noncompliance with any federal, state, or local statute, public policy, tort law, contract law, common law, or of any other wrongdoing whatsoever;
- 8. The Housing Act mandates that public housing tenants residing in the CRHA units cannot be charged more than thirty percent (30%) of their adjusted monthly income for rent which includes allowances to cover reasonable consumption of utilities. *See* 42 U.S.C. § 1437 *et seq*. The Housing Act also allows the CRHA to establish a utility allowance program and establish surcharges for electric utility consumption in excess of the allowances. The class action challenges CRHA's electric utility allowance program and assessments of residents with a surcharge for excessive electric usage based on a 2003 study of utility rates, in effect from 2003 until May 31, 2013
- 9. The tenants contend that the 2003 study established utility allowances that resulted in large numbers of tenants being charged excess electric usage fees. Thus, many tenants have paid amounts for rent plus reasonable quantities of utilities that exceed the statutory

maximum of 30% of their adjusted monthly income as the resident contribution. The CRHA disagrees with the above contention.

- 10. The HUD regulations require the CRHA to promulgate criteria and procedures for adjusting utility allowances for tenants who are elderly, disabled, ill or otherwise have special needs for usage of electricity beyond their control. The CRHA has not promulgated such procedures. 24 C.F.R. § 965.508. The CRHA contends it had a HUD approved grievance procedure in place which allowed it to adequately deal with this matter.
- 11. In the 2003 CRHA Board Resolution No. 2058, CRHA promised to provide households with a \$50 savings bond if they did not exceed their annual electrical consumption allowance. CRHA has never provided any qualifying household that incentive, but denies it has acted inappropriately in this matter. The tenants contend that their claims are based upon *inter alia* the terms of the CRHA's lease agreement, 42 U.S.C. §§ 1437a and d; 42 U.S.C. § 1983; 24 C.F.R §§ 965.502, 505, 506, 507 and 508; and 24 C.F.R. §§ 966.4 and 966.5. The CRHA denies these legal conclusions.
- 12. The CRHA denies any liability stemming from its imposition of excess electric utility consumption charges and/or any other claims subject to the Class Action.
  - 13. ,The CRHA agrees to enter into this Settlement Agreement to avoid further expenses, additional delay, and the risk inherent in litigation and therefore in consideration for the settlement terms below, including the release of claims, the Parties agree as follows:

### II. SETTLEMENT TERMS

### 14. The settlement class shall be :

All current and former Charlottesville public housing residents since June 7, 2007 who were subject to CRHA's 2003 resolution regarding utility allowances.

### **Prospective Relief for Tenants**

15. Prospective relief will begin on the day final approval is given, including any approval from the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, and all appeal times have run. If no appeal is filed from a final order in this case, then thirty days after entry of a final judgment approving this class settlement, the terms of this prospective relief shall take effect.

16. The CRHA electricity allowances will be based on the 2010 HUD calculator as compiled by 2rw (Attachment 1 to the Proposed Preliminary Order). These kilowatt/hour allowances will continue for a period of five years. The parties agree that the adoption of this study is pursuant to this Settlement Agreement, and therefore Plaintiffs subject to this Class Action agree to waive any notice and comment requirements under federal and/or state law in the interest of compromise and that CRHA's adoption of such a study shall be deemed to be lawful and valid for the term required under this Settlement Agreement. Plaintiffs agree to file no claims challenging the validity of the attached 2010 HUD Calculator Study during the five year term required under this Settlement Agreement.

17. The rate for electricity billed to tenants shall be \$.074 per kilowatt hour exceeding the allowance. This rate will continue for a period of five years.

18. The tenants of each of the 251 units metered and actually charged for utilities shall receive a credit of \$15.00 per month, to be applied for thirty-six (36) months. Such credits shall be applied at the tenant's discretion.

19. Thereafter, the tenants of each of the 251 units metered and actually charged for utilities shall receive a credit of \$5.00 per month to be applied for twenty-four (24) months. Such credits shall be applied at the tenant's discretion. This credit shall be placed on the account of the household assigned to each of the 251 units metered and actually charged for utilities, to be used for any purpose associated with the lease.

20. The CRHA shall implement an individual relief policy for tenants who are elderly, disabled, ill, or otherwise have special needs for usage of electricity beyond their control. The proposed notice and policy is attached. (Attachment A). The Parties agree that the adoption of the proposed Notice and Policy is pursuant to this Settlement Agreement, and therefore Plaintiffs subject to this Class Action agree to waive any notice and comment required under Federal and/or State law in the interest of compromise and that CRHA's adoption of such Notice and Policy shall be deemed to be lawful and valid for the term required under this Settlement Agreement. Plaintiffs agree to file no claim challenging the validity of the Notice and Policy during the five year term required under this Settlement Agreement.

21. The CRHA shall notify tenants of the right to request relief from the imposition of utility surcharges upon implementation of this Agreement, upon their admission into the public housing program, at their initial lease execution and at their annual recertification appointments. This notification shall be in writing and shall state the right to challenge the denial of any request for relief in accordance with the CRHA's grievance procedure.

### **Retroactive relief for former and current tenants:**

22. On September 1, 2013 or as soon thereafter as the necessary approvals and appropriations have been obtained, which approvals and appropriations shall be sought with due diligence, defendants will deposit in an account at BB&T in the name of Legal Aid Justice Center – Client Trust, EIN 54-0884513, the total of \$160,000.00. This amount and the interest that accrues on it will be distributed as follows:

- a. \$95,400.00 to be distributed to tenants who paid excess electricity consumption surcharges incurred between June 7, 2007 and May 31, 2013;
- b. \$6,600.00 to be distributed to tenants who did not incur excess electric charges for one or more years between January 1, 2008 and December 31, 2012, and were eligible to receive the \$50.00 savings bond;
- \$6,000.00 for incentive awards of \$1,000.00 to each of the class representatives for pursuing the claims, to cover the expenses incurred for attending meetings and hearings as well as personal time spent advancing the litigation, and the personal risk they took in speaking out for their rights;
- d. \$50,000.00 for attorney's fees
- e. \$2,000.00 for costs and administration of the settlement.

If the Court requires changes in the method of distribution, it will not alter this agreement.

23. The CRHA has identified that (Total tenants) current and former CRHA tenants paid excess electric utility consumption charges incurred between June 7, 2007 and May 31, 2013.

24. The total amount of excess utility consumption surcharges eligible for reimbursement is \$288,717.24, (see Attachment 2 to the Proposed Preliminary Order). Current and former CRHA

tenants eligible for reimbursement payments are identified by numbers in Attachment 2 to the Proposed Preliminary Order along with the amounts they are entitled to receive.

25. Defendant is not responsible for costs associated with the distribution of proceeds.

26. Class Counsel will be responsible for providing notice to all class members and distributing the settlement proceeds.

27. Within 10 days of the Court's preliminary approval of the Settlement and class notice, Class Counsel will mail notice to each of the class members explaining the terms of the Settlement Agreement (see Attachment 4 to the Proposed Preliminary Order). CRHA shall post a prescribed Notice of detailed information about the Settlement in a prominent location at each of the public housing sites which are subjects of this lawsuit.

### **Final approval:**

28. This settlement is subject to approval by HUD. If HUD does not approve, it must file an objection to the settlement within 30 days of the date signed or this requirement is waived.

29. At their expense, plaintiffs will prepare a draft motion for class certification and for final settlement which will include all mechanics for certification of the class and the distribution of settlement proceeds. Plaintiffs will send that the motion to defendants for concurrence.

30. After Defendants and Plaintiffs have agreed on that motion, Plaintiffs will file it in the court, and Defendants will file a statement concurring in the motion.

31. The motion shall provide that the class counsel shall have a reasonable time in which to provide notice of this proposed settlement to all class members and then to seek final approval of the motion by the Court.

#### If the Court does not approve class certification or the settlement agreement:

32. If the Court certifies any classes or enters any orders relating to Class Representatives and Class Counsel, such actions shall not be an adjudication of any fact or issue for any purpose other than for this Agreement.

33. If the Court does not grant final approval of the Settlement, certification of the Settlement Class will be vacated, and the Parties will be returned to their positions *quo ante* with respect to the Action as if the Settlement had not been reached. If Final Judgment is not achieved, any Court orders approving the certification of any class shall be void and vacated, and no person or entity shall use such orders. In addition, no person or entity shall use or cite the facts of this Settlement, the seeking of the certification of any class under the Settlement, or that the Court preliminarily approved the certification of a settlement class, in any contested proceeding relating to the certification of any class.

### **Release of Claims:**

34. **Class Claims.** Upon Final Approval, Class Representatives, each Class Member who has not opted out of the Settlement under the terms of this Agreement, and any of their respective executors, representatives, heirs, successors, bankruptcy trustees, guardians, and all those who claim through them or who assert claims on their behalf, will be deemed to have completely released and forever discharged CRHA, its directors, officers, employees, and agents and Constance Dunn, and the Commonwealth of Virginia, Department of the Treasury, Division of Risk Management ("the Released Parties"), and each of them, from any claim, cause of action, or liability of every kind, based on the alleged violations of the Brooke Amendment to the U.S. Housing Act of 1937 (42 U.S.C. § 1437 *et seq.*) and/or any and all other claims raised in the Class Action. The claims include overcharging for excess

electric utilities, or any other comparable federal, state, or local law, statute, regulation, or common law, and all claims for monetary, equitable, declaratory, injunctive, or any other form of relief arising from those claims, whether known or unknown, under the law of this jurisdiction. The claims also include those resulting from or arising out of the claims raised in the Action, or claims which could have been raised in this Action based on the electricity allowances, rates, and incentives established in 2003.

35. **Bar to Future Suits.** Class Representatives and Class Members who do not opt out of the Settlement may not prosecute any legal proceeding against any Released Party with respect to the claims released.

36. **Settlement Effective.** This Settlement Agreement between the Plaintiffs and the Charlottesville Redevelopment and Housing Authority Defendants (CRHA) and Constance Dunn shall be effective upon approval of the Court.

37. **Dismissal of Litigation.** The Class Representatives, on behalf of themselves and the Class Members, consent to the dismissal of the Action with prejudice in accordance with the terms of the Agreement, following the approval of this agreement by the court and entry of the Final Judgment Order.

38. **Enforcement**. The Court shall retain jurisdiction to enforce the judgment, releases, and bar to suits contained in this Settlement. The Settlement may be pleaded as a complete defense to any proceeding subject to this section.

### WE AGREE TO THIS:

ewes, Plaintiff Plaintiff Plaintiff

Case 3:12-cv-00026-GEC<sub>7</sub>BWC Document 78 Filed 09/30/13 Page 11 of 13 Pageid#: 886 odden . Plaintiff Plaintiff, Representative for PHAR on 01 , Plaintiff , Plaintiff

Legal Aid Justice Center

By:

Brenda Castañeda, VSB #72809 <u>brenda@justice4all.org</u> John Conover, VSB # 17872 john@justice4all.org Abigail Turner, VSB # 74437 <u>abigail@justice4all.org</u> Alex Gulotta, VSB # 37097 <u>alex@justice4all.org</u> LEGAL AID JUSTICE CENTER 1000 Preston Avenue, Suite A Charlottesville, Va. 22903 PHONE 434-977-0553 x 103 FAX 434-977-0558

Thomas D. Domonoske VSB #35434 Of Counsel LEGAL AID JUSTICE CENTER 461 Lee Avenue Harrisonburg, VA 22802 540-442-7706 tomdomonoske@earthlink.net

Counsel for Plaintiffs

Charlottesville Redevelopment and Housing Authority

Constance Dunn Recutice Director

Title: Char

By: (

m

James M. Bowling, Esq., VSB #14232 jmb@stlawva.com Rhonda Quagliana, Esq., VSB #39522 rq@stlawva.com St. John, Bowling, Lawrence & Quagliana, LLP 416 Park Street Charlottesville, Va. 22902 PHONE 434-296-7138 FAX 434-296-1301 Counsel for Defendants

Approved and entered this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2013.

United States District Judge

Case 3:12-cv-00026-GEC-BWC Document 78 Filed 09/30/13 Page 13 of 13 Pageid#: 888

# ATTACHMENTS

- A. CRHA policy for relief for elderly and disabled tenants
- B. Proposed Preliminary Order for Class Certification and Settlement