

Utility Allowance Basics

NEWHAB 2016 CONFERENCE
Boston, MA

Jim Grow
NATIONAL HOUSING LAW PROJECT
June 27, 2016



National Housing Law Project

What We'll Cover

2

- What are UAs and Why We Care
- Methodologies for Establishing UAs
- How UAs Relate to Financing Energy Improvements While Protecting Tenants
- Brief Glimpse of the Policy Landscape

Utility Allowances: What & Why

3

- **What is a UA:**
 - An amount which affordable housing owners must subtract from a tenant's total rent contribution
 - Part of the total rent stream
 - Applies ONLY where some or all basic utilities are tenant-metered
 - Should cover "costs of reasonable consumption" of necessary tenant-metered utilities
 - * Program variations
 - Must be adjusted for significant rate changes & often consumption
- **Why:** ensures compliance with statutory rent limits, since T's contribution must cover both rent & reasonable utilities
 - Rent limit is usually 30% of income (HUD-RD) or a formula rent (LIHTC)

Why Care About UAs?

4

- UA §§ = portion of rent stream provides potential resource to support E-E improvements & renewables yielding energy cost savings to both Ts & subsidy program
- Can augment State PUC-req'd L-I energy savings progs, which may not adequately serve the affordable MF rental sector:
 - §§ limited; allowable measures; other barriers, incl. split incentives (O vs T)
- Absent improvements, many Tenants are stuck paying high bills in inefficient units, often > UA: most Ts and subsidy providers can't get E-E savings or other benefits unless improvements are paid for or financeable by Os
 - Every energy improvement implicates possible UA adjustments

UA Basics

- Only necessary utilities: elec. & gas/oil, water & sewer
- Each affordable housing program has different rules re UA methodologies
- Each property in same area may have a different UA
- Depending on applicable program rules, UA may be:
 - an estimate from a schedule or
 - a property-specific calculation

UA Example-HUD Assisted

Total tenant payment (limited to 30%) = rent to O + UA

HUD Project-based Section 8	
Contract rent	\$900
Utility allowance	\$100
Assume TPP is 30% of tenant's income	\$300
Tenant's "rent" to O (\$300 - UA \$100)	\$200
Tenant's UA = reasonable utility costs	\$100
HUD subsidy to owner	\$700



wegowise

UA Example-LIHTC

Total tenant payment (limited to LIHTC max.) = rent to O + UA; assumes no ongoing operating subsidy

Low Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC)	
LIHTC rent	\$700
Utility allowance	\$60
Tenant's "rent" to owner	\$640
Tenant's UA = reasonable utility costs	\$60



wegowise

Current UA methodologies

- Estimates from Schedules
 - Easy, cheap, often very inaccurate (e.g., PHA voucher UA schedule)
 - Not specific to actual or estimated consumption at the property, only community-wide norms at best
 - If too high → misallocation of rental subsidies or rental income
 - If too low → tenants paying too much for rent & reas. Utilities
 - Energy improvements don't affect schedule at all (unless EEBUA schedule)
- OR
- Project-specific UAs:
 - based upon actual consumption & rates (data access issues, cost of procuring & calculating) [Now required HUD PBS8 methodology]
 - Energy Consumption Models (ECMs) (can be accurate, but high initial cost)

Sample PHA UA Schedule

SHEA

Project-specific: Actual Consumption

10

- Requires T consumption from meters or actual billing data:
 - data access and format issues
 - Clear, easily administrable agency-required methodology?
 - e.g., sample size, what is reasonable consumption? (average X% of average, median, X %ile, etc.)
 - Time lags between end of data collection for normalized period and UA → financing problem for energy improvements
 - Possible “takeback” problem in estimating post-retrofit saving
 - Actuals are commonly used in HUD & RD properties with rent assistance or in some public housing (less common in LIHTC)

Project-specific: Energy Consumption Model

11

- Engineering model, NOT using actual property consumption data
 - Reasonable energy consumption for space cooling & heating, & H2O heating calculated for each unit type, using approved building energy performance software
 - Averaged across all similar unit types (e.g., all 2-bdrm units) through a weighted means calculation
 - Algorithms to estimate appliance, plug load and lighting energy consumption, plus typical water use
 - Sum of all end-use energy (net of renewables), @ applicable utility rates = UA for each unit type

Energy Consumption Models

12

- **Affordable housing program rules may allow EC Model**
 - LIHTC explicitly allows (post-2008)
 - Both new construction & 'subst'l rehab & possibly existing LIHTC projects
 - Owner choice, but state agency rules may constrain or influence choice
 - CA CUAC permissible for NC, gut rehab, or certain existing if MASH Solar PV
 - Uptake currently limited: initial high costs and lack of accessible good model
 - Currently limited use for other existing properties & affordable programs (e.g. HUD renovations w/refinancing, HOME projects, RD new construction)
 - PROS: accuracy of proper model; projections available without delay of actuals, thus good for financing; easier updating – just input new tariffs
 - CONs: initial cost → must have good model, incl. verification; user qualifications; QC; updating to improve algorithms; not yet web accessible

Key Distinction Between Programs

(13)

- Rental Assistance Programs vs. those without (e.g. LIHTC)
 - can O easily adj. UA & access energy cost savings?
- RA programs have “triple-split” incentive (O/T/agency):
 - no O access to cost savings, without agency policy or initiative
 - EI → savings to T → UA reduction based on actuals → T rent up, subsidy down
 - Agency (subsidy provider) benefits, not O (contrast Public Housing)
- Programs without RA (e.g., straight LIHTC):
 - O direct access to cost savings
 - EI → savings to T → UA reduction based on actuals or ECM → T rent up. O benefits directly: no “triple-split” incentive

UAs Post-E-E Retrofit

(14)

HUD or RD Rental Assistance	LIHTC
HUD Contract rent	\$900
New lower Utility allowance	\$80
Assume TRIP is 30% of tenant's income	\$300
Tenant's “rent” to O (\$300 – UA \$80) (increases)	\$220
Tenant's UA = reasonable utility costs	\$80
HUD subsidy to owner (reduced)	\$680
(HUD gains \$20; Owner gains no cash flow)	

Summary of Current Thinking re UAs

(15)

- Primary opportunities for improvements in UA policy:
 - LIHTC: both NC & existing inventory
 - HUD & RD @ refi/recap window offering reset
 - PH EPCs & RAD
 - PBVs (EEBA schedule better than PHA Voucher schedule)
- NOT stand-alone retrofits of ex’g HUD or RD properties: shared savings barrier
 - Exceptions: HUD BBC & new Pay for Success Demonstration

UA Policy Improvements

(16)

- 1) Encourage/require project-specific methods to set UA
- 2) Must allow for a reduction in UA to reflect the reduction in energy use from the E-E upgrades, or in cost from the renewable installation
 - Renewables don’t reduce consumption, but reduce cost & add a provider
- 3) Must allow properties/Os to capture some or all the increase to property’s cash flow resulting from reduced UA
- 4) UA reduction should not adversely affect the tenant by increasing total cost burden for housing + utilities

UA: Transition Issues

17

- In some cases, where prior UAs are high and not currently project-specific (actuals or good ECM), shift to proper UA will cause rent increase beyond energy cost savings
 - Due to change in methodology alone (e.g. schedule to project-specific)
 - Hard to convince Ts re E-E benefits if their rent is increasing more than energy cost savings
- Where prior UA too low (schedule, poor baseline or outdated rates), if no RA, owners lack incentive to change; if RA program, would increase subsidy cost
- Right-sizing required/possible prior to improvements?
- Need more evaluation of impact and transition policies:
 - ✖ in CA CSI Solar PVs (but cushioned by direct T benefit of policy)
 - ✖ In implementation of forthcoming HUD UA policy for PBRAs
 - ✖ Any situations where UA method is changing

Looking Ahead

18

- Outreach and education re ECMs or actuals for LIHTC: all state HFAs and Os
- Improve practice: improve ease & accuracy of using actuals or ECM: cost, staff time, results
- Policy: IRS rules or HFA policy changes needed?
 - Disadvantage bad practices for new units (?? for existing Us)
- Policy: HUD & RD RA programs: what's needed to share savings?
 - FY '16 approved 20,000 unit Energy Conservation Demo (HUD PFS contracts with entities who would raise K and work with ESCOs etc. to make upgrades); also HUD's BBC
- Policy: Solar PV & UA adjs: who gets benefits of publicly supported systems?
- Policy: HUD and state agency transition strategies

More Resources/Info

19

- Join NEWHAB sessions and working groups
- CHPC/NHLP Owner's Guide to UAs:
 - http://chpc.net/wp-content/uploads/2016/04/UA-Guide_April-2016Web.pdf
- Enterprise Green Communities UA Guide (2012):
 - <http://www.enterprisecommunity.com/resources/ResourceDetails?ID=67588.pdf>
- Contacts:
 - Jim Grow, Senior Attorney, NHLP, 415.546.7000 x3104
jgrow@nhlp.org
 - Dana Bartolomei, National Housing Trust, DBartolomei@nhtinc.org